Working memory and executive function: evidence from neuroimaging

Patricia A Carpenter, Marcel Adam Just and Erik D Reichle

Traditional theories of working memory and executive function, when mapped in straightforward ways into the neural domain, yield predictions that are only partly supported by the recent neuroimaging studies. Neuroimaging studies suggest that some constituent functions, such as maintaining information in active form and manipulating it, are not discretely localized in prefrontal regions. Some hypothesized executive processes, such as goal management, have effects in several cortical regions, including posterior regions. Such results suggest a more dynamic and distributed view of the cortical organization of working memory and executive functions.

Addresses

Center for Cognitive Brain Imaging, Department of Psychology, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA Correspondence: Patricia A Carpenter; e-mail: carpenter+@cmu.edu

Current Opinion in Neurobiology 2000, 10:195-199

0959-4388/00/\$ – see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations

BA	Brodmann's area
DLPFC	dorsolateral PFC
fMRI	functional magnetic resonance imaging
PET	positron emission tomography
PFC	prefrontal cortex
SPECT	single photon emission computed tomography

Introduction

Executive processes and working memory have proven to be a fruitful test bed for neuroimaging studies of cognition. Executive processes are implicated in complex cognition, such as novel problem solving, which entails identifying and coordinating the steps to a new goal, evaluating the intermediate outcome, and modifying the plan as needed. Executive processes are also associated with task-set control, modifying behavior as appropriate in light of changes in the environment, such as inhibiting prepotent or previous responses. Executive processes are also associated with the functions that are impaired as a consequence of frontal lesions, particularly those related to the implementation of schemas that organize behavior over time [1,2]. Working memory has been operationalized primarily as the processes and structures that keep information available over a relatively short time, such as postulated verbal and spatial peripheral buffers [3,4•]. In this standard perspective, executive processes manipulate the contents of the working memory buffers.

In the first part of this article, we describe how recent advances in functional neuroimaging have been framed in light of some classic questions about the organization of working memory and executive processes. In the second part, we examine how these same neuroimaging results suggest that the classic questions might be reconstrued, and then suggest some possible implications of these alternative hypotheses.

Classic issues

Perhaps the major theoretical issue concerning executive processes is whether discrete regions (modules) of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) are dedicated to particular operations and, if so, the characterization of those processes, including their domain specificity [5^{••}]. For example, Owen, Petrides and their colleagues [6,7] proposed that the midventrolateral region (Brodmann's area [BA] 45/47) supports the organization of response sequences based on information retrieved from posterior areas, whereas the mid-dorsolateral region (BA 9/46) supports the active manipulation or monitoring of information within working memory. Another proposal by Goldman-Rakic [8] is that PFC is organized by processing domain into object, spatial position, and verbal PFC regions.

Another issue concerns the relation between the computations of the prefrontal regions and those of more posterior regions in working-memory functions. For example, Goldman-Rakic [8] proposed that, in working memory tasks, the PFC regions operate conjointly with posterior regions as multi-modal domain-specific networks. The hypothesis that working-memory functions are accomplished by large-scale networks overlaps with other theoretical proposals, including Mesulam's framework [9]. Although the temporal relations among these various components may be critically important [10], they are only beginning to be addressed by neuroimaging studies [11].

A third issue is how to conceptualize the capacity constraints of working memory — a topic that was framed by Miller's classic chunking hypothesis [12]. When working memory is equated with information maintenance, constraints can be conceptualized as temporal. When the task is more complex, such as problem solving, these constraints may be conceptualized as limits in the complexity of the computations or representations [13,14]. For example, one proposal is that immediate thought varies in the amount of concurrent processing demand relative to a system's resources, and that constraints emerge from system throughput [15].

Neuroimaging results

The localization of working memory versus executive processing [6,7] was addressed in a meta-analysis of several positron emission tomography (PET) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies of verbal and spatial n-back tasks [16•,17•]. In an n-back task, sequentially presented items (letters, spatial positions, or patterns) are evaluated for their identity to an element that was presented n items previously. So, the task requires encoding, temporary maintenance and rehearsal, tracking of serial order, updating, and comparison and response processes. Smith and Jonides [16[•]] found that baseline, verbal identification tasks activated a left-lateralized network that included posterior parietal (BA 40), Broca's (BA 44), and supplementary motor and premotor areas (BA 6), the latter three of which were interpreted as supporting verbal rehearsal, and the network as supporting verbal storage. Also, the two- and three-back verbal tasks showed clusters of activation in the dorsolateral PFC (DLPFC), which were interpreted as reflecting the additional executive processes. When Smith and Jonides [16•] compared the results of n-back tasks of spatial position versus visual pattern, the pattern of results supported previous single cell research by indicating more parietal activation for spatial position versus more inferior temporal activation for patterns [18]. Smith and Jonides suggested a reconciliation of the Petrides/Owen and Goldman-Rakic views: domain specificity is reflected in the lateralization of activation (language is left- and spatial position is right-lateralized), and the manipulation of verbal information, as compared to simple storage, results in additional DLPFC activation. Although the data generally support the main point of their proposal, some aspects of the data complicate the model in which the addition of executive processes simply adds to activation in the DLPFC. First, as the authors recognize, the left-lateralized network for language versus spatial processing is only one of degree; there are right hemisphere foci for language and vice versa [17[•]]. Also, the two- and three-back tasks yield additional activation not only in prefrontal areas but also in other, more posterior cortical sites. The complexity that cautions against any simple mapping of function to location arises not just in these meta-analyses [16[•],17[•]], but also in recent neuroimaging studies that directly address the localization question.

A recent event-related fMRI experiment contrasted the prefrontal regions hypothesized to be differentially associated with working-memory maintenance versus manipulation, using a letter-span task [19•]. After five letters were presented, the participant was either to remember them in the presented order or alphabetize them (manipulation) during an 8 s interval. As predicted, DLPFC was activated during letter manipulation; however, both the DLPFC and ventrolateral PFC were activated (but to different degrees) during both maintenance and manipulation, showing that there was no simple mapping between process and region.

Executive processing also has been studied in problemsolving tasks, such as the Tower of London task, in which performance is particularly impaired following frontal-lobe lesions. Recent PET and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) studies found activation in the prefrontal cortices [20–22] that increased with the number of subgoals needed to solve the problem, along with activation in the right [20] or bilateral [21] parietal regions. These findings were replicated in a fMRI experiment conducted in our laboratory, with an important addition: the number of subgoals during the problem solving affected activation not only in the prefrontal regions but also in the parietal regions. Such data call into question the implicit hypothesis that executive processes are localized in the prefrontal regions and control lower-level processes in more posterior regions.

Reconstruals suggested by the neuroimaging data

Collaboration and redundancy

The prevailing account is still that each identifiable function is localized to a single cortical area. However, an alternative framework is emerging. One postulate of this new view is that each association cortical region has more than one function, and that the functions of distinct areas might overlap each other. The nondiscreteness of specialization is consistent with results of the various meta-analyses of neuroimaging studies of executive processes described above; moreover, this alternative hypothesis may not be restricted to executive and working-memory processes but may be a general principle of cortical organization. The same conclusion emerges from a recent fMRI study of object recognition [23•]. Although three distinct areas (in ventral temporal cortex) were activated preferentially in response to three different types of stimuli (faces, buildings, and letters), each area also responded to its two nonpreferred categories, at a lower level of intensity. This finding indicates that the representation of each of the categories is distributed over several areas, and each area contributes to the representation of several categories. One possible interpretation of the result is that the multiple activated areas perform different functions but closely collaborate in a distributed processing system, and their co-activation (albeit to different degrees) reflects processes unique to each area. Alternatively, there also may be some redundancy of function across the activated areas. In either case, the emerging view suggests that cognitive processes emerge from networks that span multiple cortical sites with closely collaborative and overlapping functions [8,9].

The concept of distributed large-scale networks is also consistent with single cell recording studies that find cells in multiple regions (such as prefrontal and parietal regions) with similar response profiles [24,25]. An important additional claim from the neuroimaging research is that there can be differential participation of component regions as a function of the computational load [26,27[•]].

The concept of cognitive processes as emerging from large-scale distributed networks is also engendering new research approaches. One new technique is the search for fine-scale temporal co-variation in the functional activation across cortical and subcortical regions, an analysis that is interpreted in terms of functional connectivity [28,29]. Another new approach is the use of computational modeling to account for the characteristics of large-scale, distributed networks as revealed by neuroimaging [30,31].

Overlap in functions across cortical regions

If small cortical regions may participate in more than one function, then there may be some overlap between entire large-scale networks subserving different processes. This hypothesis is consistent with an fMRI study that contrasted visual versus linguistic strategies for representing concrete sentences; the patterns of activation suggested overlapping (rather than dissociated) networks, in which different regions made differential contributions to the two strategies [32...]. To the extent that cognitive strategies can be thought of as being executive functions, this study also supports the hypothesis that areas outside of the prefrontal cortex (e.g. left superior temporal gyrus) contribute to 'executive' functions. An overlap of large-scale networks that subserve different processes was also found in a neuroimaging study that contrasted the use of spatial cues versus temporal cues in visual detection [33]. The two types of cue activated overlapping networks in the prefrontal regions (including DLPFC and the ventrolateral PFC), but the parietal activation was lateralized depending on the cue type, with more left-lateralized activation for temporal cues and more right-lateralized activation for spatial cues.

Computational quantity and quality

Another finding is that the degree and location of the activation of a given brain area is dynamically determined by the precise quality and quantity of the computational load carried by the area. Graded studies quantitatively manipulate the computational load imposed during a task, to examine the dose-response relation of load to the activation volume and intensity. For example, in n-back tasks, the amount of activation in DLPFC increases with n [34]. In an event-related fMRI study of sentence comprehension, the time-locked activation increased more rapidly for the more difficult (negative) than easier (affirmative) sentences in a network of areas, precluding the possibility that the greater activation for more difficult computations reflects differential processing time [35]. Thus, the mapping of process to cortical location depends in part on the computational load, not only with executive and working memory processes, but also in sentence comprehension [26] and mental rotation [36].

Capacity limits

Another important result is that there is a limit to the workload that a system or its parts can bear. In an n-back task, the amount of activation in the left DLPFC increased with n up to two items, and then decreased, reflecting the behavioral and concomitant neural inflection point at the upper limit of performance [37]. Other cortical regions showed monotonic relations between n and activation volume, arguing against such effects being attributable to global effortful attention. Moreover, as Callicott *et al.* [37] note, the behavioral constraint may arise not from the limit of a single key region (such as DLPFC) but may be a network phenomenon.

Conclusions

One implication of recent neuroimaging studies is that there is no one-to-one mapping of process to cortical region, which must modify the goal of determining the cortical mosaic. Considerable research progress has been made in cognitive neuroscience and cognitive science by considering systems, such as those constituting executive processes and working-memory processes, as nearly dissociable; but it increasingly appears to be time to examine their interaction. More importantly, the emerging view raises a new question: what mechanisms determine the relative allocation of functions to regions? We have already cited evidence that such a dynamic allocation occurs over the short-term in tasks that vary in demand. Over the longer term, neuroimaging studies of stroke recovery and rehabilitation suggest an increasing ability to recruit regions that are contralateral or adjacent to the lesioned area. An fMRI study of two adult patients (one with a left frontal and one with a left temporal lesion) performing a sentence comprehension task at intervals after their strokes ranging from days to several months, showed increasing recruitment of the region contralateral to the stroke-induced lesion that correlated with spontaneous language improvement [38•,39]. Developmental data also suggest a more dynamic view of cortical recruitment. For example, an fMRI study of the language processing of children who had suffered left hemisphere brain injuries found extensive right temporal activation [40]. Other data show that following brain damage, there is recruitment in contralateral and neighboring regions to different degrees depending on factors that include age and neurological status [41], as well as task properties. Although we have focussed on neuroimaging of high-level processes, a more dynamic and distributed view of cortical processing is also emerging from electrophysiological research on sensory and motor systems as well [42,43].

Finally, the emerging view suggests that we reconsider the implicit assumption that there is a fixed, relatively small number of cortical networks to be mapped. Instead, multiple brain regions might combine with each other in vast number of ways, depending on the task requirements and, more generally, on the types of cognitive skills that a person within a culture develops. Whether it be the more arbitrary demands of a n-back task or the less arbitrary demands of solving algebra equations, we can expect that an appropriate network has been configured, whose constituency may well be of interest. Also of interest are the general mechanisms and principles by which the networks come to be configured and refined. In this view, the variety and generativity of human cognition, like the variation observed in other complex adaptive systems, arises from the combinatorics of simpler elements. Thus, a challenge for future research will be to characterize not

only the simpler elements, but also the mechanisms underlying the combinatorics, and the nature of the resulting networks.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-96-1-0322, National Institute of Mental Health Grant MH-29617, and National Institute of Mental Health Senior Scientist Awards MH-00661 and MH-00662.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Grafman J: Similarities and distinctions among current models of prefrontal cortical functions. Annals New York Acad Sci 1995, 769:337-368.
- Shallice T, Burgess P: The domain of supervisory processes and the temporal organization of behaviour. In *The Prefrontal Cortex: Executive and Cognitive Functions*. Edited by Roberts AC, Robbins TW, Weiskrantz L. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998:22-35.
- Baddeley A: Recent developments in working memory. Curr Opin Neurobiol 1998, 8:234-238.
- 4. Miyake A, Shah P (Eds): Models of Working Memory: Mechanisms of
- Active Maintenance and Executive Control. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.

A useful edited book of chapters describing different working-memory models that are based primarily on behavioral data.

 Roberts AC, Robbins TW, Weiskrantz L (Eds): *The Prefrontal Cortex: Executive and Cognitive Functions*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998.

A useful volume of different perspectives on executive function and working memory that draw extensively on electrophysiological and neuropsychological data.

- Owen AM, Herrod NJ, Menon DK, Clark JC, Downey SPMJ, Carpenter TA, Minhas PS, Turkheimer FE, Williams EJ, Robbins TW *et al.*: Redefining the functional organization of working memory processes within human lateral prefrontal cortex. *Eur J Neurosci* 1999, 11:567-574.
- Petrides M: Frontal lobes and behavior. Neurobiology 1994, 4:207-211.
- Goldman-Rakic PS: The prefrontal landscape: implications of functional architecture for understanding human mentation and central executive. In *The Prefrontal Cortex: Executive and Cognitive Functions*. Edited by Roberts AC, Robbins TW, Weiskrantz L. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998:87-102.
- 9. Mesulam M-M: From sensation to cognition. *Brain* 1998, 121:1013-1052.
- Fuster JM: Memory in the Cerebral Cortex. Cambridge MA: The MIT Press; 1995.
- Cohen JD, Perlstein WM, Braver TS, Nystrom LE, Noll DC, Jonides J, Smith EE: Temporal dymanics of brain activation during a working memory task. *Nature* 1997, 386:604-608.
- 12. Miller GA: The magical number seven, plus or minus two: some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psych Rev* 1956, 63:81-97.
- Halford GS, Wilson WH, Phillips S: Processing capacity defined by relational complexity: implications for comparative, developmental and cognitive psychology. *Brain Behav Sci* 1998, 21:803-864.
- Waltz JA, Knowlton BJ, Holyoak KJ, Boone KB, Mishkin FS, de Menezes Santos M, Thomas CR, Miller BL: A system for relational reasoning in human prefrontal cortex. *Psycholog Sci* 1999, 10:119-125.
- Just MA, Carpenter PA: A capacity theory of comprehension: individual differences in working memory. *Psych Rev* 1992, 99:122-149.

Smith EE, Jonides J: Storage and executive processes in the frontal lobes. Science 1999, 283:1657-1661.

This paper provides a careful and comprehensive meta-analysis of n-back tasks that have been used in conjunction with brain imaging to study working memory. The first meta-analysis contrasts the patterns of cortical activation generated in tasks that require storage of verbal information versus tasks that also require manipulation of the stored verbal information. The second contrasts cortical activation generated in tasks that require memory for spatial location versus tasks that require memory for object identities.

- 17. D'Esposito M, Aguirre GK, Zarahn E, Ballard D, Shin RK, Lease J:
- Functional MRI studies of spatial and nonspatial working memory. Cogn Brain Res 1998, 7:1-13.

A meta-analysis of numerous studies of working memory and a report of an n-back study contrasting memory for spatial position and letters. Both show extensive overlap among the regions subserving different task domains and the paper appropriately cautions against simple generalities.

- Cabeza R, Nyberg L: Imaging cognition: an empirical review of PET studies with normal subjects. J Cogn Neurosci 1997, 9:1-26.
- D'Esposito M, Postle BR, Ballard D, Lease J: Maintenance versus
 manipulation of information held in working memory: an eventrelated fMRI study. Brain Cogn 1999, 41:66-86.

An event-related fMRI experiment examines the dorsal versus ventral PFC division in terms of the responsivity to short-term information maintenance compared to manipulation. The tasks compared letter sequence maintenance (active rehearsal followed by probed recognition) and letter sequence (alphabetization followed by judgments about ordinal position). Although the dorsal PFC was more activated with letter manipulation, both tasks produced ventral and dorsal PFC activation, which argues against a strict localization of function to region.

- Andreasen NC, Rezai K, Alliger R, Swayze VW, Flaum M, Kirchner P, Cohen G, O'Leary DS: Hypofrontality in neuroleptic-naïve patients and in patients with chronic schizophrenia: assessment with Xenon 113 single-photon emission computed tomography and the Tower of London. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1992, 49:943-958.
- Baker SC, Rogers RD, Owen AM, Frith CD, Dolan RJ, Frackowiak RSJ, Robbins TW: Neural systems engaged by planning: a PET study of the Tower of London task. *Neuropsychologia* 1996, 34:515-526.
- 22. Morris RG, Ahmed S, Syed GM, Toone BK: Neural correlates of planning ability: frontal lobe activation during the Tower of London test. *Neuropsychologia* 1993, **31**:1367-1378.
- Ishai Al, Ungerleider LG, Martin A, Schouten JL, Haxby JV: Distributed
 representation of objects in the human ventral visual pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96:9379-9384.

Category-specific patterns of cortical activation in the ventral temporal area were examined in a fMRI experiment in which a delayed match-to-sample task with targets from three categories (faces, houses, and tools). Although each category engendered significant activation in distinct loci, these loci were also responsive to a lesser degree to targets from other categories. This result is inconsistent with a modular, category-specific organization, and instead suggest a topological organization in which visual objects are represented in a more distributed fashion.

- 24. Rao C, Rainer G, Miller EK: Integration of what and where in the primate prefrontal cortex. *Science* 1997, **276**:821-824.
- 25. Quintana J, Fuster JM: From perception to action: temporal integrative functions of prefrontal and parietal neurons. *Cereb Cortex* 1999, **9**:213-221.
- Just MA, Carpenter PA, Keller TA, Eddy WF, Thulborn KR: Brain activation modulated by sentence comprehension. *Science* 1996, 274:114-116.
- Carpenter PA, Just MJ: Modeling the mind: very high-field
 functional magnetic resonance imaging activation during

cognition. Top Magn Reson Imaging 1999, **10**:16-36. This paper summarizes a number of fMRI studies that support the emerging view of neural networks as being both highly distributed and very interactive, and briefly describes a computational approach to accounting for such activation.

- Caplan JB, Bandettini PA, Sutton JP: Weight-space mapping of fMRI motor tasks. In *Computational Neuroscience*. Edited by Bower J. New York: Plenum Press; 1997:585-589.
- Buchel C, Coull JT, Friston KJ: The predictive value of changes in effective connectivity for human learning. *Science* 1999, 283:1538-1541.
- Just MA, Carpenter PA, Varma S: Computational modeling of highlevel cognition and brain function. *Hum Brain Mapp* 1999, 8:128-136.

- 31. Horwitz B: Using functional brain imaging to understand human cognition. *Complexity* 1998, **3**:39-52.
- Reichle ED, Carpenter PA, Just MA: The neural bases of strategy
 and skill in sentence-picture verification. Cogn Psychol 2000, in press.

This paper presents a fMRI experiment in which participants performed the sentence-picture verification task using both a verbal strategy and a visualimagery strategy. Both strategies produced activation in the left inferior frontal, superior/middle temporal, bilateral parietal, and bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal regions; however, left inferior frontal activation was more pronounced with the verbal (as compared to visual-imagery) strategy, whereas the strategies produced the opposite pattern in the left parietal region. The amount of activation in these regions was also modulated by individual differences in psychometrically-assessed skill; for example, the activation volume engendered by the visual-imagery strategy in the parietal regions was negatively correlated with visuospatial skill.

- Coull JT, Nobre AC: Where and when to pay attention: the neural systems for directing attention to spatial locations and to time intervals as revealed by both PET and fMRI. J Neurosci 1998, 18:7426-7435.
- Braver T, Cohen JD, Jonides J, Smith EE, Noll DC: A parametric study of prefrontal cortex involvement in human working memory. *Neuroimage* 1997, 5:49-62.
- Carpenter PA, Just MA, Keller TA, Eddy WF, Thulborn KR: Time course of fMRI-activation in language and spatial networks during sentence comprehension. *Neuroimage* 1999, 10:216-224.
- Carpenter PA, Just MA, Keller T, Eddy WF, Thulborn KR: Graded functional activation in the visuospatial system with the amount of task demand. J Cogn Neurosci 1999, 11:9-24.

 Callicott JH, Mattay VS, Bertolino KF, Coppola R, Frank JA, Goldberg TE, Weinberger DR: Physiological characteristics of capacity constraints in working memory as revealed by functional MRI. *Cereb Cortex* 1999, 9:20-26.

Thulborn KR, Carpenter PA, Just MA: Plasticity of language-related
 brain function during recovery from stroke. Stroke 1999, 30:749-754.
 An fMRI study of two patients over time showing the relatively rapid reorganization of language processing to the right homologues of the classic language areas following a left hemisphere stroke.

- Musso M, Weiler C, Kiebel S, Muller S, Balau P, Rijntzes J: Training induced brain plasticity in aphasia. Brain 1999, 122:1781-1790.
- Booth JR, MacWhinney B, Thulborn KR, Sacco K, Voyvodic J, Feldman HM: Functional organization of activation patterns in children: whole brain fMRI imaging during three different cognitive tasks. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 1999, 23:669-682.
- Grafman J, Litvan I: Evidence for four forms of neuroplasticity. In Neuronal Plasticity: Building a Bridge From the Laboratory to the Clinic. Edited by Grafman J, Christen Y. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1999:131-139.
- 42. Sanes JN, Donoghue JP: Dynamic motor cortical organization. Neuroscientist 1997, 3:158-165.
- Faggin BM, Nguyen KT, Nicolelis MAP: Immediate and simultaneous sensory reorganization at cortical and subcortical levels of the somatosensory system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997, 94:9428-9433.